arXiv:0808.1173v1 [math.CA] 8 Aug 2008

Frame and wavelet systems on the sphere

Margit Pap

University of Pécs, Ifjúság útja 6, 7634 Pécs, Hungary
papm@ttk.pte.hu

Abstract

In this paper we formulate a weighted version of minimum problem (1.4) on the sphere and we show that, for $K \leq L$, if $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$ consists of the spherical functions with degree less than N we can localize the points $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_L)$ on the sphere so that the solution of this problem is the simplest possible. This localization is connected to the discrete orthogonality of the spherical functions which was proved in [3]. Using these points we construct a frame system and a wavelet system on the sphere and we study the properties of these systems. For $K > L$ a similar construction was made in paper [4], but in that case the solution of the minimum problem (1.4) is not as efficient as it is in our case. The analogue of Fejér and de la Valée-Poussin summation methods introduced in [3] can be expressed by the frame system introduced in this paper.

1 Approximation on the sphere

Let $\mathbb{S}^2$ denote the three dimensional unit sphere, let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ with $\dim \mathcal{V} = K$. With respect to a basis $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^K$ of $\mathcal{V}$ any $f \in \mathcal{V}$ has a unique representation

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{K} a_k \varphi_k, \qquad a_k \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.1}$$

For $\xi_l \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $l = 1, \dots, L$ let suppose that we know the values of $f(\xi_l)$, $l = 1, \dots, L$.
Denote by

$$\mathbf{f} := (f(\xi_1), \dots, f(\xi_L))^T \in \mathbb{C}^L, \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{\Phi} := \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(\xi_1) & \dots & \varphi_K(\xi_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_1(\xi_L) & \dots & \varphi_K(\xi_L) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times K}. \tag{1.3}$$

Let us consider the approximation problem:

$$\text{Find } \tilde{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{C}^K \text{ with } \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{\Phi}\tilde{\mathbf{a}}\|_2 \le \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{a}\|_2 \text{ for all } \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^K \tag{1.4}$$

or, equivalently, $\min\limits_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^k} \|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{a}\|_2$, where $\| \circ \|_2$ denotes the normal euclidian norm. For $K \le L$ the solution of the approximation problem (1.4) can be found using the least squares method, and $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ is solution of the normal equations

$$\mathbf{\Phi}^H \mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{\Phi}^H \mathbf{f}. \tag{1.5}$$

Assuming that the matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}$ has full rank, i.e. $\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{\Phi}) = K$ we obtain that

$$\mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^H \mathbf{\Phi})^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^H \mathbf{f}. \tag{1.6}$$

If number K is large, then the difficulty in computations is the determination of $(\mathbf{\Phi}^H \mathbf{\Phi})^{-1}$, which needs a great number of operations.

Problem. The question is: for a given system $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^K$ how to choose $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_L)$ so that the computation of $(\mathbf{\Phi}^H \mathbf{\Phi})^{-1}$ to be not difficult. The most simplest case is if for a good choice of $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_L)$, the matrix $(\mathbf{\Phi}^H \mathbf{\Phi})^{-1}$ is equal by the identity matrix.

In what follows we will show that, for $K \le L$, if $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^K$ consists of the spherical functions with degree less than N we can localize the points $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_L)$ in this way. This localization is connected to the discrete orthogonality of the spherical functions. Using these points we will construct a frame system and a wavelet system on the sphere and we will study the properties of these systems. For $K > L$ a similar construction was made in paper [4], but in that case the solution of the minimum problem (1.4) is not as efficient as it will be in our case. The analogue of Fejér and de la Valée-Poussin summation methods introduced in [3] can be expressed by the frame system introduced in this paper.

2 Spherical harmonics of degree n

Spherical harmonics play an important role in Fourier analysis. They are restrictions to the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ of homogeneous polynomials that are solutions of the 3 dimensional Laplace equation.
Let denote by $\xi = (\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \sin\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\theta) \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$, $f(\xi) = f(\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \sin\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\theta)$.
The set of n degrees spherical harmonics is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_n$, with

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_n) = 2n + 1. \tag{2.1}$$

For arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the functions

$$Y_{nk}(\theta,\varphi) := \sqrt{2n+1} \cdot \mathrm{P}_n^{|k|}(\cos\theta)\, \mathrm{e}^{ik\varphi}, \quad k = -n, \dots, n \tag{2.2}$$

are called the spherical polynomials (functions) of order n, where $\mathrm{P}_n^{|k|}$ are the associate Legendre polynomials. The spherical polynomials of order n constitute an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_n$, where the ortogonality is with respect to the scalar product induced by the following (continuous) measure on the unit sphere

$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\xi)\,\mathrm{d}\xi := \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_0^{\pi} f(\theta,\varphi) \sin\theta \,\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\varphi, \tag{2.3}$$

namely

$$\langle Y_{nk}, Y_{ml} \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_0^{\pi} Y_{nk}(\theta,\varphi)\overline{Y_{ml}(\theta,\varphi)} \sin\theta\,\mathrm{d}\theta\,\mathrm{d}\varphi = \delta_{mn}\delta_{kl}$$

(where δ_{mn} is the Kronecker symbol).
The addition theorem for spherical harmonics is

$$\sum_{k=-n}^{n} Y_{nk}(\xi)\overline{Y_{nk}(\eta)} = (2n+1)\,\mathrm{P}_n(\xi\cdot\eta), \quad \xi,\eta \in \mathbb{S}^2, \tag{2.4}$$

where P_n is the Legendre polynomial of n–th degree.
The function $K_n : \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$K_n(\xi,\eta) = (2n+1)\,\mathrm{P}_n(\xi\cdot\eta) \tag{2.5}$$

is called reproducing kernel because it has the following reproducing property: for arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{H}_n$,

$$\langle f, K_n(\cdot,\eta)\rangle = f(\eta), \text{ with } \eta \in \mathbb{S}^2. \tag{2.6}$$

3 Discrete orthogonality of spherical functions

In paper [3] we proved that it can be constructed a set of points in $[0,\pi]\times[0,2\pi]$ and a discrete measure, so that the orthonormality property of spherical functions regarding to the scalar product, induced by the discrete measure is preserved. In what follows we summarize these results.
Let denote by $\lambda_k^N \in (-1,1)$, $k \in \{1,\dots,N\}$ the roots of Legendre polynomials P_N of order N, and for $j = 1,\dots,N$ let

$$l_j^N(x) = \frac{(x-\lambda_1^N)\dots(x-\lambda_{j-1}^N)(x-\lambda_{j+1}^N)\dots(x-\lambda_N^N)}{(\lambda_j^N-\lambda_1^N)\dots(\lambda_j^N-\lambda_{j-1}^N)(\lambda_j^N-\lambda_{j+1}^N)\dots(\lambda_j^N-\lambda_N^N)}, \tag{3.1}$$

be the corresponding fundamental polynomials of Legendre interpolation. Denote by

$$\mathcal{A}_k^N := \int\limits_{-1}^{1} l_k^N(x)\,\mathrm{dx} \quad (1 \le k \le N) \tag{3.2}$$

the corresponding Cristoffel–numbers. Let consider the set of nodal points

$$X := \left\{ z_{kj} = (\theta_k,\varphi_j) = \left(\arccos\lambda_k^N, \frac{2\pi j}{2N+1}\right) \quad : \quad k=\overline{1,N},\ j=\overline{0,2N} \right\} \tag{3.3}$$

and the weights

$$\mu_N(z_{kj}) := \frac{\mathcal{A}_k^N}{2(2N+1)}. \tag{3.4}$$

These weights are positive numbers (see [2]). On the set X we consider the following discrete integral

$$\int\limits_X f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\mathrm{N} := \sum_{k=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{2N} f(z_{kj})\mu_N(z_{kj}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{2N} f(\theta_k,\varphi_j)\frac{\mathcal{A}_k^N}{2(2N+1)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Theorem 3.1 ([3]) *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \ge 1$, then the finite set of normalized spherical functions*

$$\left\{ Y_{nk} : \mathbb{S}^2 \to \mathbb{C} \quad : \quad k \in \{-n,\dots,n\},\ n \in \{0,\dots,N-1\} \right\}$$

form an orthonormal system on the set of modal point X regarding to the discrete integral (3.5)*, i.e.,*

$$\int\limits_X Y_{nk}\overline{Y_{n'k'}}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}} = \delta_{nn'}\delta_{kk'}, \quad n,n' < N,\ k \in \{-n,\dots,n\}\,,\ k' \in \{-n',\dots,n'\}\,. \tag{3.6}$$

Theorem 3.2 ([3]) *For all $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^2)$,*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\int\limits_X f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}} = \int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^2} f\,\mathrm{d}\mu\,.$$

4 Approximation on the sphere corresponding to points determined by discretisation process

Let localize ξ on the sphere in the following way:

$$X' = \Big\{\xi_{kj} = (\sin\theta_k\cos\varphi_j,\ \sin\theta_k\sin\varphi_j,\ \cos\theta_k) \in \mathbb{S}^2\ : (\theta_k,\varphi_j) \in X,\ k = \overline{1,N},\ j = \overline{0,2N}\Big\}. \tag{4.1}$$

This set consists of $L = N(2N+1)$ points.
Suppose that we can measure the values of f on the set X', namely we know $\mathbf{f} = (f(\xi_{10}),\dots,f(\xi_{N2N}))^T$.
Let introduce the following notations:

$$I_N := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{10})} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{11})} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{N2N})} \end{pmatrix} \in M^{L\times L},$$

$$\mathbf{f}_1 = I_N\mathbf{f} = \Big(\sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{10})}f(\xi_{10}),\ \dots,\ \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{N2N})}f(\xi_{N2N})\Big)^T,$$

$$g_{nk}(\xi) = Y_{nk}(\xi)\sqrt{\mu_N(\xi)}, \quad n \in \{0,1,\dots,N-1\}, \quad k \in \{-n,\dots,n\},$$

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_1 = I_N\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{00}(\xi_{10}) & g_{1\,-\,1}(\xi_{10}) & \dots & g_{N\,-\,1N\,-\,1}(\xi_{10}) \\ g_{00}(\xi_{11}) & g_{1\,-\,1}(\xi_{11}) & \dots & g_{N\,-\,1N\,-\,1}(\xi_{11}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_{00}(\xi_{N2N}) & g_{1\,-\,1}(\xi_{N2N}) & \dots & g_{N\,-\,1N\,-\,1}(\xi_{N2N}) \end{pmatrix} \in M^{L\times N^2}.$$

Let formulate the following weighted minimum problem:

find $\tilde{a}$ so that $\min\limits_{a\in\mathbb{C}^{N^2}} \|\mathbf{f}_1 - \mathbf{\Phi}_1 a\| = \|\mathbf{f}_1 - \mathbf{\Phi}_1 \tilde{a}\|$. The solution $\tilde{a}$, according to (1.6) is

$$\tilde{a} = \left((I_N\mathbf{\Phi})^H (I_N\mathbf{\Phi})\right)^{-1} (I_N\mathbf{\Phi})^H \, \mathbf{f}_1.$$

We show that in this case $\left((I_N\mathbf{\Phi})^H (I_N\mathbf{\Phi})\right)$ is the N^2 dimensional identity matrix, so the computation of $\tilde{a}$ is the most simplest possible. Indeed, denote $(I_N\mathbf{\Phi})^H(I_N\mathbf{\Phi}) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A(0,0;\ 0,0) & \dots & A(0,0;\ N-1,N-1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ A(N-1,N-1;\ 0,0) & \dots & A(N-1,N-1;\ N-1,N-1) \end{pmatrix} \in M^{N^2\times N^2},$$

where

$$A(n,k;\ n',k') = \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{1,0})} Y_{n'k'}(\xi_{1,0}) \mu_N(\xi_{1,0}) + \ldots + \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{N,2N})} Y_{n'k'}(\xi_{N,2N}) \mu_N(\xi_{N,2N}).$$

From (3.6) and the discrete orthogonality of spherical functions it follows that

$$A(n,k;\ n',k') = \int\limits_X \overline{Y_{nk}} Y_{n'k'} \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}} = \delta_{nn'}\delta_{kk'},$$

$$n,n' \in \{1,\ldots,N-1\} \quad k \in \{-n,\ldots,n\}, \quad k' \in \{-n',\ldots,n'\},$$

from this it follows that

$$(I_N\mathbf{\Phi})^H(I_N\mathbf{\Phi}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M^{N^2\times N^2}.$$

From (1.7) and (3.5) it follows that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = (I_N\mathbf{\Phi})^H \mathbf{f}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \int\limits_X \overline{Y_{00}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}} \\ \int\limits_X \overline{Y_{1-1}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}} \\ \vdots \\ \int\limits_X \overline{Y_{N-1N-1}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

which means that that the components of $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ are exactly the discrete Laplace-Fourier coefficients of f. In this case the best approximant can be expressed using the reproducing kernels $K_n(\xi,\eta)$, namely

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{\Phi}_1\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{pmatrix} \int\limits_X \sum\limits_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(K_n(\xi_{1,0},\eta)\right) \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{1,0})} f(\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}}(\eta) \\ \int\limits_X \sum\limits_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(K_n(\xi_{1,1},\eta)\right) \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{1,1})} f(\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}}(\eta) \\ \vdots \\ \int\limits_X \sum\limits_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(K_n(\xi_{N,2N},\eta)\right) \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{N,2N})} f(\eta)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}}(\eta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This gives the idea to study the properties of the set of functions

$$\left\{\sqrt{\mu_N(\xi^N_{l,m})}\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi^N_{l,m}) \quad : \quad \xi^N_{l,m} \in X'\right\},$$

where

$$\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi^N_{l,m}) = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{n,k}(\xi^N_{l,m})} Y_{n.k}(\cdot) = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} K_n(\cdot,\xi_{l,m}), \tag{4.2}$$

$\{m_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a strictly monotone increasing sequence of positive integers, j_0 so that $m_j \leq N$, if $j \leq j_0$. We will show that with this systems we can generate a multiresolution decomposition in the space of spherical polynomials of degree N, they constitute a frame system at every level of the multiresolution, and they generate a wavelet decomposition on the sphere.

5 Multiresolution decomposition and wavelet spaces on the sphere using the nodal points determined by X

Definition 5.1 *A sequence $\{\mathcal{V}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite dimensional subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ will be called a multiresolution of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$\mathcal{V}_j \subset \mathcal{V}_{j+1} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{M1}$$

$$\text{closure}\left(\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_j, \|\circ\|\right) = L^2(\mathbb{S}^2). \tag{M2}$$

Usually, a definition of multiresolution includes a condition on the intersection of the spaces $\mathcal{V}_j$. From (M1) it follows immediately that

$$\bigcap_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{V}_j = \mathcal{V}_0.$$

We define the wavelet spaces $\mathcal{W}_j$ as the orthogonal complements of $\mathcal{V}_j$ in $\mathcal{V}_{j+1}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{W}_j := \mathcal{V}_{j+1} \ominus \mathcal{V}_j, \tag{5.1}$$

which means that

$$\mathcal{V}_{j+1} = \mathcal{V}_j \oplus \mathcal{W}_j. \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.1 *Let* $\{\mathcal{V}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *be a multiresolution analysis of* $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ *and for* $j \in \mathbb{N}$ *let* $\mathcal{W}_j$ *be the corresponding wavelet spaces defined by* (5.1). *With* $\mathcal{W}_0 := \mathcal{V}_1$ *we have*

$$L^2(\mathbb{S}^2) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_j. \tag{5.3}$$

Defining the operators R_j and Q_j to be orthogonal projections $R_j : L^2(\mathbb{S}^2) \to \mathcal{V}_j$ and $Q_j : L^2 \to \mathcal{W}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$R_{j+1} = R_1 f + \sum_{k=1}^{j} Q_k f \tag{5.4}$$

for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$. We will study an approximation process on the sphere based on harmonic polynomials.

Theorem 5.1 *Every harmonic polynomial of degree* N *over the sphere* $\mathbb{S}^2$ *can be written as a sum of spherical harmonics of degree* N, *i.e.*

$$\Pi_N(\mathbb{S}^2) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{N} \mathcal{H}_n. \tag{5.5}$$

Particularly, any function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ can be approximated by spherical harmonics in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$–sense up to arbitrary precision. From $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$–orthonormality of the space $\mathcal{H}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain the dimension

$$\dim \Pi_{N-1}(\mathbb{S}^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \dim \mathcal{H}_n = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (2n+1) = N^2. \tag{5.6}$$

In the finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_n$ with the inner product $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ any function $Y_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ can be represented with respect to an orthonormal basis $\{Y_{nk}\}_{k=-n}^{n}$ as a Laplace–Fourier sum

$$Y_n = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle Y_n, Y_{nk} \rangle \, Y_{nk}. \tag{5.7}$$

Finally, any $Y \in \Pi_{N-1}$ can be written as

$$Y(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle Y, Y_{nk} \rangle \, Y_{nk}(\eta). \tag{5.8}$$

Because of the discrete orthonormality of spherical harmonics the Laplace–Fourier coefficients $\alpha_{nk} := \langle Y, Y_{nk} \rangle$ can be computed using the discrete integral, namely

$$\alpha_{nk} = \langle Y, Y_{nk} \rangle_X = \int_X \overline{Y_{nk}} Y \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}} = \sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} Y(\theta_{k'}, \varphi_j) \cdot \overline{Y_{nk}(\theta_{k'}, \varphi_j)} \mu_N(z_{k'j}). \tag{5.9}$$

This means that if we know the values of $Y \in \Pi_{N-1}$ on the set X then we can compute the exact values of continuous Laplace-Fourier coefficients of Y, consequently we know the values of Y on the whole sphere. This makes possible to develop a construction of weighted scaling functions in case $K \leq L$, which is analogous to that of J. Prestin and M. Conrad [4] for $K > L$.

Substituting $\alpha_{n,k}$ in (5.8) we obtain that

$$Y = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle Y, Y_{nk} \rangle_X \, Y_{nk}, \quad Y \in \Pi_{N-1}(\mathbb{S}^2). \tag{5.10}$$

From (5.8), (5.10) and using notation (2.4), (2.6) we obtain the following reproducing formulas in the space $\Pi_{N-1}(\mathbb{S}^2)$. Any $Y \in \Pi_{N-1}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ can be written in following two ways:

$$Y(\eta) = \left\langle Y(\cdot), \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} K_n(\cdot, \eta) \right\rangle = \left\langle Y(\cdot), \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} K_n(\cdot, \eta) \right\rangle_X . \tag{5.11}$$

Let $\{m_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly monotone increasing sequence of positive integers. Then the spaces $\mathcal{V}_j = \Pi_{m_j-1}(\mathbb{S}^2) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \mathcal{H}_n$, $(j \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfy the following conditions

1. $\mathcal{V}_j \subset \mathcal{V}_{j+1}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$
2. closure $\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_j, \| \circ \| \right) = L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$.

Thus $\{\mathcal{V}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a multiscale decomposition of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$. We will choose from $\mathcal{V}_1$ the scaling functions

$$\Big\{ Y_{nk} \Big\}_{n=0;k=-n}^{m_1-1;n},$$

and the set of nodal points X' on $\mathbb{S}^2$, corresponding to the set X from section 2.

Let consider j_0 so that $m_j \leq N$, if $j \leq j_0$. For the scale $\mathcal{V}_j$ we introduce the scaling functions

$$\tilde{\varphi}_j = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} Y_{nk} \tag{5.12}$$

and the "weighted" scaling functions from $\mathcal{V}_j$

$$\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{l,m}^N) = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{l,m}^N)} Y_{nk}(\cdot), \tag{5.13}$$

where $\xi_{l,m}^N \in X'$ are defined by (4.1).

The advantage of this choice is the following: beside the continuous orthonormality of the spherical functions of degree less then N they have the discrete orthonormality property on set X.

In this way on the j–th scale the number of the weighted scaling functions is equal to the number of the points on which we measure the functions, namely $L = N(2N+1)$. From (2.4) and (2.6) it follows that

$$\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} (2n+1)\, \mathrm{P}_n(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} K_n(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) \tag{5.14}$$

are real valued.

We summarize some properties of the functions $\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)$ in the next result.

Theorem 5.2

1. *The functions* $\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)$ *have the reproducing property*

$$\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)\rangle = f(\xi_{lm}^N) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{V}_j,\ j \leq j_0$$

$$\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)\rangle_X = f(\xi_{lm}^N).$$

2. *It holds* $\|\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)\| = m_j$, $\varphi_j(\xi_{lm}^N, \xi_{lm}^N) = m_j^2$, $\|\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)\|_X = m_j$.

3. *The function* $\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)$ *is localized around* ξ_{lm}^N, *i.e.*

$$\frac{\|\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)\|}{\varphi_j(\xi_{lm}^N, \xi_{lm}^N)} = \min\Big\{ \|f\| \quad : \quad f \in \mathcal{V}_j,\ f(\xi_{lm}^N) = 1 \Big\}.$$

4. *We have* $\operatorname{span}\{\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) \mid \xi_{lm}^N \in X'\} = \mathcal{V}_j$, $j \leq j_0$.

5. *The set* $\left\{\sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{lm}^N)}\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N) \quad : \quad \xi_{lm}^N \in X'\right\}$ *is a tight frame in* $\mathcal{V}_j$, $j \le j_0$, *i.e. for every function* $f \in \mathcal{V}_j$ *we have*

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{N}\sum_{m=0}^{2N} \mu_N(\xi_{lm}^N)\left|\left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N)\right\rangle\right|^2.$$

6.
$$\int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^2} \varphi_j(\xi,\xi_{lm}^N)\,\mathrm{d}\omega(\xi) = 1,$$

$$\int\limits_{X^2} \varphi_j(\xi,\xi_{lm}^N)\,\mathrm{d}\omega(\xi) = 1 \qquad m_j \le N.$$

Proof

1. On the base of (5.8), every $f \in \mathcal{V}_j = \Pi_{m_j-1}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ can be written as

$$f(\eta) = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1}\sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle f, Y_{nk}\rangle\, Y_{nk}(\eta).$$

Then

$$\left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N)\right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1}\sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle f, Y_{nk}\rangle \left\langle Y_{nk}, \varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N)\right\rangle =$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1}\sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle f, Y_{nk}\rangle \sum_{n'=0}^{m_j-1}\sum_{k'=-n}^{n} \langle Y_{nk}, Y_{n'k'}\rangle\, Y_{n'k'}(\xi_{lm}^N).$$

Using the orthonormality property (2.3) we obtain that

$$\left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N)\right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1}\sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle f, Y_{nk}\rangle\, Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N) = f(\xi_{lm}^N).$$

Using the discrete orthonormality (3.6) in an analogous way we obtain that

$$\left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N)\right\rangle_X = f(\xi_{lm}^N).$$

2.

$$\|\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N)\|^2 = \left\langle \varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N), \varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N)\right\rangle = \varphi_j(\xi_{lm}^N,\xi_{lm}^N) =$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1}\sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N)}Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N) = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1}(2n+1)\,\mathrm{P}_n(\xi_{lm}^N\cdot\xi_{lm}^N) =$$
$$\sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1}(2n+1)\,\mathrm{P}_n(1) = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1}(2n+1) = m_j^2.$$

3. Let $f \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_j$ with $f(\xi_{lm}^N) = 1$. Then

$$1 = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \alpha_{nk}(f) Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N). \tag{5.15}$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain that

$$1 \leq \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{n=-n}^{n} |\alpha_{nk}(f)|^2 \Big) \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N)} Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N) \Big), \tag{5.16}$$

with equality attained for $\tilde{f}$, for which the vectors

$$\Big\{ \alpha_{nk}(\tilde{f}) \Big\}_{n=0;k=-n}^{m_j-1;n}, \quad \Big\{ Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N) \Big\}_{n=0;k=-n}^{m_j-1;n}$$

satisfy the following conditions: there exists a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\alpha_{nk}(\tilde{f}) = \alpha \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N)}$ for $n = \overline{0, m_j - 1}$, $k = \overline{-n, n}$.

From 2. we deduce that $\alpha = \frac{1}{m_j^2}$, and the corresponding $\tilde{f} = \frac{1}{m_j^2} \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)$.

For all $f \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_j$ with $f(\xi_{lm}^N) = 1$, from (5.16) and the Parseval's equation it follows that $\|f\|^2 \geq \|\tilde{f}\|^2 = \frac{1}{m_j^2}$.

Thus

$$\min\{\|f\| : \varphi \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_j,\ f(\xi_{lm}^N) = 1\} = \frac{1}{m_j} = \frac{\|\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N\|)}{\varphi_j(\xi_{lm}^N, \xi_{lm}^N)}.$$

4. Writing $f \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_j$ as its Fourier–Laplace sum

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \alpha_{nk}(f) Y_{nk},$$

on the base of (5.9), α_{nk} can be computed as

$$\alpha_{nk}(f) = \sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} f(\xi_{k'j}^N) \cdot \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{k'j}^N)} \mu_N(\xi_{k'j}^N).$$

This means that

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} f(\xi_{k'j}^N) \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{k'j}^N)} \mu_N(\xi_{k'j}^N) \right) Y_{nk}(\xi) =$$

$$\sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} f(\xi_{k'j}^N) \varphi_j(\xi, \xi_{k'j}^N) \cdot \mu_N(\xi_{k'j}^N) = \langle f(\cdot), \varphi_j(\xi, \cdot) \rangle_X. \tag{5.17}$$

Hence $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_j$ is spanned by the functions $\varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{k'j}^N)$, $\xi_{k'j}^N \in X'$, $j \leq j_0 < N$.

5. For every function $f \in \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_j$ we write

$$\|f\|^2 = \langle f, f \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{\alpha_{nk}(f)} \langle f, Y_{nk} \rangle .$$

From (5.17) it follows that

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \overline{\alpha_{nk}(f)} f(\xi^N_{k'j}) \mu_N(\xi^N_{k'j}) \left\langle \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{k'j}), Y_{nk} \right\rangle .$$

Using 1. we obtain that $\left\langle \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{k'j}), Y_{nk} \right\rangle = \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{k'j})}$ and $f(\xi^N_{k'j}) = \left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{k'j}) \right\rangle$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \overline{\alpha_{nk}(f)} \left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{k'j}) \right\rangle \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{k'j})} \mu_N(\xi^N_{k'j}) = \\ \sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{k'j}) \right\rangle \mu_N(\xi^N_{k'j}) \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{\alpha_{nk}(f)} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi^N_{k'j})} = \\ \sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{k'j}) \right\rangle \overline{f(\xi^N_{k'j})} \mu_N(\xi^N_{k'j}) = \\ \sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{k'j}) \right\rangle \overline{\left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{k'j}) \right\rangle} \mu_N(\xi^N_{k'j}) = \\ \sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \left| \left\langle f, \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi^N_{k'j})} \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{k'j}) \right\rangle \right|^2 . \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\left\{ \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi^N_{lm})} \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{lm}), \quad \xi^N_{lm} \in X \right\}$$

is a tight frame in $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_j$ with frame bound $A = 1$.

6. From the orthonormality property (3.6) and taking into account that

$Y_{00}(\xi) = 1$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \varphi_j(\xi, \xi^N_{lm}) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi^N_{lm})} Y_{nk}(\xi)\, \mathrm{d}\omega(\xi) =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi^N_{lm})} \int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathrm{d}\omega(\xi)\, \overline{Y_{00}(\xi)} Y_{nk}(\xi) =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi^N_{lm})} \delta_{0n}\delta_{0k} = 1.$$

The proof regarding to the discrete integral $\int_X \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{lm})$ is analogous. We mention that for the special choice $m_j = j$, with the corresponding frame system

$$\left\{ \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi^N_{lm})} \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{lm}), \quad \xi^N_{lm} \in X \right\},$$

the analogue of Fejér and de la Valée-Poussin summation methods introduced in [3] can be expressed.

6 The harmonic polynomial wavelet space

We define the wavelet space $\mathcal{W}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \leq j_0 - 1$ as the direct sum

$$\mathcal{W}_j = \bigoplus_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \mathcal{H}_n. \tag{6.1}$$

The dimension of $\mathcal{W}_j$ is $\dim \mathcal{W}_j = \dim \mathcal{V}_{j+1} - \dim \mathcal{V}_j = m^2_{j+1} - m^2_j$. Let consider the wavelets $\tilde{\psi}_j$ for $\mathcal{W}_j$

$$\tilde{\psi} = \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} Y_{nk} \tag{6.2}$$

and the "weighted" wavelets

$$\psi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{lm}) = \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi^N_{lm})} Y_{nk} \in \mathcal{W}_j, \quad \xi^N_{lm} \in X'. \tag{6.3}$$

From the addition theorem (2.4) it follows that

$$\psi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{lm}) = \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} (2n+1) P_n(\cdot, \xi^N_{lm}), \tag{6.4}$$

consequently $\psi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{lm})$, with $\xi^N_{lm} \in X'$ is real valued. We summarize the properties of $\psi_j(\cdot, \xi^N_{lm})$ in the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1

1. *For every* $f \in \mathcal{W}_j$, $j \leq j_0 - 1$ *and* $\xi_{lm}^N \in X'$ *there are valid the reproducing properties*

$$\left\langle f, \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) \right\rangle = f(\xi_{lm}^N),$$

$$\left\langle f, \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) \right\rangle_X = f(\xi_{lm}^N).$$

2. *The following orthogonality properties hold:*

$$\left\langle \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N), \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{pq}^N) \right\rangle = 0, \qquad \left\langle \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N), \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{pq}^N) \right\rangle_X = 0$$

$$\xi_{lm}^N, \xi_{pq}^N \in X', \quad \xi_{lm}^N \neq \xi_{pq}^N.$$

3. *It holds*

$$\|\psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)\| = \sqrt{m_{j+1}^2 - m_j^2}, \quad \psi_j(\xi_{lm}^N, \xi_{lm}^N) = m_{j+1}^2 - m_j^2.$$

4.

$$\frac{\|\psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)\|}{\psi_j(\xi_{lm}^N, \xi_{lm}^N)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{j+1}^2 - m_j^2}} = \min\left\{\|f\| : \, f \in \mathcal{W}_j, \, f(\xi_{lm}^N) = 1\right\},$$

5.

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{\psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N), \, \xi_{lm}^N \in X'\right\} = \mathcal{W}_j, \; j \leq j_0 - 1,$$

$$f(\xi) = \langle f, \psi_j(\xi, \cdot) \rangle_X, \; f \in \mathcal{W}_j, \; \xi \in \mathbb{S}^2, \; j \leq j_0 < N.$$

6. *The set*

$$\left\{ \sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{lm}^N)}\, \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) : \; \xi_{lm}^N \in X' \right\}$$

is a tight frame in $\mathcal{W}_j$, $j \leq j_0$, *i.e. for every* $f \in \mathcal{W}_j$,

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{2N} \mu_N(\xi_{lm}^N) |\left\langle f, \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) \right\rangle|^2.$$

7.

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \psi_j(\xi \cdot \xi_{lm}^N) \, \mathrm{d}(\xi) = 0,$$

$$\int_X \psi_j(\xi \cdot \xi_{lm}^N) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathrm{N}}(\xi) = 0, \; j \leq j_0 < N, m_j > 1.$$

Proof

1. Every $f \in \mathcal{W}_j$ can be written as

$$f = \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \alpha_{nk}(f) Y_{nk}, \quad \alpha_{nk} = \langle f, Y_{nk} \rangle \,,$$

hence the scalar product it is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle f, \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) \right\rangle &= \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle f, Y_{nk} \rangle \left\langle Y_{nk}, \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) \right\rangle = \\ \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle f, Y_{nk} \rangle & \sum_{n'=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k'=-n'}^{n'} \underbrace{\langle Y_{nk}, Y_{n'k'} \rangle}_{\delta_{nn'}\delta_{kk'}} Y_{n'k'}(\xi_{lm}^N) = \\ & \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \langle f, Y_{nk} \rangle \, Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N) = f(\xi_{lm}^N). \end{aligned}$$

For the discrete scalar product the proof is similar.

2. From the definition of φ_j, ψ_j and the continuous and discrete orthogonality of spherical functions it follows these orthogonality properties. Indeed, for the continuous case we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \varphi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N), \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{pq}^N) \right\rangle = \\ & \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} Y_{nk}(\xi) \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N)}, \sum_{n'=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k'=-n'}^{n'} Y_{n'k'}(\xi) \overline{Y_{n'k'}(\xi_{pq}^N)} \right\rangle = \\ & \sum_{n=0}^{m_j-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \sum_{n'=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k'=-n'}^{n'} \underbrace{\langle Y_{nk}(\xi), Y_{n'k'}(\xi) \rangle}_{\delta_{nn'} \cdot \delta_{kk'} = 0} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N)} Y_{n'k'}(\xi_{pq}^N) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for the discrete scalar product is analogous.

3.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)\|^2 &= \left\langle \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N), \psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N) \right\rangle = \\ & \psi_j(\xi_{lm}^N, \xi_{lm}^N) = \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} Y(\xi_{lm}^N) \overline{Y(\xi_{lm}^N)} = \\ \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} (2n+1) & \underbrace{P_n(\xi_{lm}^N \cdot \xi_{lm}^N)}_{1} = \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} (2n+1) = (m_{j+1})^2 - m_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

4. Let $f \in \mathcal{W}_j$ with $f(\xi_{lm}^N) = 1$, then

$$1 = \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \alpha_{nk}(f) Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N). \tag{6.5}$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain:

$$1 \leq \Big(\sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \big(\sum_{k=-n}^{n} |\alpha_{nk}(f)|^2 \big) \big(\sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N)} Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N) \big),$$

with equality for $\tilde{f}$ with

$$\alpha_{nk}(\tilde{f}) = \alpha \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N)} \qquad \begin{array}{c} n = m_j, \dots, m_{j+1} - 1 \\ k = -n, \dots, n \end{array}$$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ constant. Then

$$\tilde{f} = \alpha \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N)} Y_{nk}(\xi_{lm}^N),$$

with $\alpha = \frac{1}{(m_{j+1}^2 - m_j^2)}$. If $f \in \mathcal{W}_j$ with $f(\xi_{lm}^N) = 1$, then

$$\|f\|^2 \geq \|\tilde{f}\|^2 = \frac{1}{(m_{j+1}^2 - m_j^2)}.$$

Thus

$$\min \big\{ \|f\| : \ f \in \mathcal{W}_j, \ f(\xi_{lm}^N) = 1 \big\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(m_{j+1}^2 - m_j^2)}} = \frac{\|\psi_j(\cdot, \xi_{lm}^N)\|}{\psi_j(\xi_{lm}^N, \xi_{lm}^N)}.$$

5. Writing $f \in \mathcal{W}_j$, as its Fourier-Laplace sum

$$f = \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \alpha_{nk}(f) Y_{nk} \quad \text{for} \quad m_{j+1} \leq N,$$

$$\alpha_{nk}(f) = \langle f, Y_{nk} \rangle_X = \sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} f(\xi_{k'j}^N) \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{k'j}^N)} \mu_N(\xi_{k'j}^N).$$

This means that

$$f(\xi) = \sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \left(\sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} f(\xi_{k'j}^N) \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{k'j}^N)} \mu_N(\xi_{k'j}^N) \right) Y_{nk}(\xi) =$$

$$\sum_{k'=1}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N} \left(\sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{k'j}^N)} Y_{nk}(\xi) \right) f(\xi_{k'j}^N) \mu_N(\xi_{n'j}^N) = \int_X f(\cdot) \psi_j(\xi, \cdot) d\mu_N, \tag{6.6}$$

from this it follows that

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{\psi_j(\cdot,\xi_{lm}^N):\ \xi_{lm}^N\in X'\right\}=\mathcal{W}_j\quad\text{if}\quad\begin{array}{c}m_{j+1}\le N\\ j\le j_0-1\end{array}.$$

6.

$$\|f\|^2=\langle f,f\rangle=\sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1}\sum_{k=-n}^{n}\overline{\alpha_{nk}(f)}\,\langle f,Y_{nk}\rangle=$$

$$\sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1}\sum_{k=-n}^{n}\overline{\alpha_{nk}(f)}\left\langle\sum_{k'=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{2N}f(\xi_{k'j}^N)\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{k'j}^N)\mu_N(\xi_{k'j}^N),Y_{nk}\right\rangle=$$

$$\sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1}\sum_{k=-n}^{n}\overline{\alpha_{nk}(f)}\sum_{k'=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{2N}\underbrace{f(\xi_{k'j}^N)}_{\left\langle f,\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{k'j}^N)\right\rangle}\ \underbrace{\left\langle\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{k'j}^N),Y_{nk}\right\rangle}_{\overline{Y_{nk}(\xi_{k'j})}}=$$

$$\sum_{k'=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{2N}\left\langle f,\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{k'j}^N)\right\rangle\mu_N(\xi_{k'j}^N)\underbrace{\sum_{n=m_j}^{m_{j+1}-1}\sum_{k=-n}^{n}\overline{\alpha_{nk}(f)Y_{nk}(\xi_{k'j})}}_{\overline{f(\xi_{k'j}^N)}}=$$

$$\sum_{k'=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{2N}\left\langle f,\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{k'j}^N)\right\rangle\mu_N(\xi_{k'j}^N)\overline{\left\langle f,\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{k'j}^N)\right\rangle}=$$

$$\sum_{k'=1}^{N}\sum_{j=0}^{2N}\left|\left\langle f,\sqrt{\mu_N(\xi_{k'j}^N)}\varphi_j(\cdot,\xi_{k'j}^N)\right\rangle\right|^2.$$

References

[1] G. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, Special Functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 71 Cambridge University Press (1999).

[2] G. Szegő, Orthogonal Polynomials, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1967).

[3] M. Pap, F. Schipp, Discrete Approximation on the Sphere, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., 22 (2003), 299-315.

[4] J. Prestin, M. Conrad, Multiresolution on the Sphere, Tutorials on Multiresolution in Geometric Modelling, Springer Verlag (2002), 165-200.

[5] A. Warzyńczyk, Group Representation and Special Functions, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Kluwer Acad. Publ. Gr., Polish Sci. Publ., Warszawa (1984).